

## Opinion in Chambers

NOTICE: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the United States Reports. Readers are requested to notify the Supreme Court of the United States, Washington, D. C. 20543, [discord.gg/r36JgmYTdB](https://discord.gg/r36JgmYTdB), of any typographical or other formal errors.

**SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES**

---

No. 26A2

---

DANNYBEC, ET AL. *v.* WRIGHTSONPHOBIA, ET AL.  
ON APPLICATION FOR STAY

[May 8, 2026]

JUSTICE FEELINGS, Application Justice.

Defendant-Petitioner dannybec *et al.*, seeks a stay to the preliminary injunction ordered by the United States District Court for the District of Columbia against them in the matter of *wrightsonphobia, et al. v. dannybec, et al.* No. 1:26-CV-0030 (D.D.C. 2026). Petitioner begs that this Court stays an order which comes in four parts.

Make no mistake: I do not believe this case is worthy of a stay. In general, the District Court exercised its discretion fairly, appropriately, and generally remained within the scope of what is available to it as a remedy in the preliminary injunction stage of a civil matter. The District Court appears to have sought to prevent further offense to the nation's foremost important document—the Constitution. The order is justified appropriately and is well-articulated and backed by legal precedents from real life and this group. For this reason, the first, second, and third portions of the preliminary injunction order will not be subject to stay at this juncture.

The fourth portion—detailing that “Defendant Dannybec is enjoined from exercising the powers and duties of the Office of Speaker of the House of Representatives for the duration of this litigation, as his election to that office occurred at a session this [c]ourt has found lacked a constitutionally valid quorum”—must be stayed. It is

## Opinion in Chambers

impermissible that the powers of the legislative branch be usurped by the judicial branch. The legislative branch might as well cease to exist if that is something the courts of justice are capable of. If the District Court had chosen to enjoin the certification of his election as Speaker, or to provide declaratory relief that he is not lawfully in his office as the Speaker, or some other remedy, that may be an appropriate use of judicial authority. Furthermore, enjoining certain authorities of the Speaker (or any other office of the United States) has been an appropriate remedy to overstep by government officers for centuries. Lower courts should balance the use of these kinds of authority against what is appropriate at the stage of the proceedings; here, in the preliminary stage, not every remedy may be functionally appropriate.

Insofar as Representative dannybec remains as the Speaker—under the rules of the House and without being removed from it by some operation of law or by the courts of justice—it does not fall within the authority of the judicial branch to enjoin the exercise of all his powers in a general sense.

The order of the District Court entered on the 7th day of May, 2026, is stayed only insofar as explained within this opinion.

*It is so ordered.*